Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Stewart, Christopher, Nelson, Andrew, Campbell, Matthew, Walker, Mark, Stevenson, Emma, Shaw, James, Cummings, Stephen and West, Dan (2017) Gut microbiota of Type 1 diabetes patients with good glycaemic control and high physical fitness is similar to people without diabetes: an observational study. Diabetic Medicine, 34 (1). pp. 127-134. ISSN 0742-3071

Published by: UNSPECIFIED

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://northumbria-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/47755/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

UniversityLibrary

Northumbria
University
NEWCASTLE

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Stewart, Christopher, Nelson, Andrew, Campbell, Matthew, Walker, Mark, Stevenson, Emma, Shaw, James, Cummings, Stephen and West, Dan (2017) Gut microbiota of Type 1 diabetes patients with good glycaemic control and high physical fitness is similar to people without diabetes: an observational study. Diabetic Medicine, 34 (1). pp. 127-134. ISSN 0742-3071

Published by: Wiley-Blackwell

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dme.13140 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/dme.13140>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/27083/

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl

northumbria UNIVERSITY NEWCASTLE

Gut microbiota of type 1 diabetes patients with good glycaemic control and high physical-fitness is similar to people without diabetes: an observational study

Christopher J Stewart[1,2], Andrew Nelson[1], Matthew D Campbell[1,3], Mark Walker[4], Emma J Stevenson[1,4], James A Shaw[4], Stephen P Cummings[1], Daniel J West[1,4].

[1]Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK.
[2]Alkek Center for Metagenomics and Microbiome Research, Department of Molecular Virology and Microbiology, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA.
[3]Carnegie Research Institute, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK.
[4]Institute of Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK.

Corresponding author: Christopher J. Stewart, Ph.D., Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Ellison Building, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 8ST, United Kingdom. Phone: +44 191 227 3176. Fax: +44 191 227 3903. E-mail: christopher.stewart@northumbria.ac.uk

What's new?

- This study is the first to explore the gut microbiota in people with type 1 diabetes (T1D), but otherwise have good glycaemic control and high physical-fitness
- The gut microbiota from the people with T1D and good glycaemic control and high physical-fitness was comparable to matched non-diabetic healthy controls

Abstract

Aim: Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is the product of a complex interplay between genetic susceptibility and exposure to environmental factors. Existing bacterial profiling studies focus on people who are most at risk at the time of diagnosis; there is limited data on the gut microbiota of people with long standing T1D. This study compared gut microbiota of people with T1D and good glycaemic control and high levels of physical-fitness with matched non-diabetic controls.

Methods: Ten males with T1D and ten matched controls without diabetes (CON) were recruited; groups were matched for gender, age, BMI, VO_{2max}, exercise habits. Stool samples were analysed using next generation sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene to obtain bacterial profiles from each individual. Phylogenetic investigation of communities by reconstruction of unobserved states (PICRUSt) was implemented to predict functional content of the bacterial OTUs.

Results: *Faecalibacterium* sp., *Roseburia* sp., and *Bacteroides* sp. were typically the most abundant members of the community in both T1D and CON and were present in every sample in the cohort. Each bacterial profile was relatively individual and no significant difference was reported between the bacterial profiles or the Shannon diversity indices of T1D compared with CON. The functional profiles were more conserved and the T1D group were comparable to that of the CON group.

Conclusions: We show that both gut microbiota and resulting functional bacterial profiles from people with longstanding T1D in good glycaemic control and high physical-fitness levels are comparable to matched people without diabetes.

Introduction

Type 1 diabetes (T1D) is the product of a complex interplay between genetic susceptibility and exposure to environmental factors [1]. Environmental exposure has long been implicated in the pathogenesis of the disease and now, with decades of evidence mapping an increased rate of incidence, it is clear that disease progression occurs at a rate at which genetic change alone cannot be solely accountable [2].

Previous research has shown that the gut microbiota, which is the collection of microorganisms colonizing the gut, has important roles in the disease [3–5]. Germ-free (GF) mice models of T1D may acquire the disease at higher rates, but this has been challenged with no significant differences between GF and colonized mice [6]. In the same study a Gram-positive organism was isolated which reduced the incidence of the disease. Administering 'probiotic' (live microorganisms which confer health benefits) to mouse models further demonstrated the potential of intervention targeting the gut microbiota to reduce disease incidence [6]. Antibiotic administration earlier in life may also predispose patients to T1D through modulation of the gut microbiota, where certain antibiotic combinations were recently found to increase diabetes risk [7], although in mice the incidence was reduced with vancomycin from birth to weaning [8].

Research in children has shown that the gut microbiota in Finish people with T1D had greater Bacterodetes relative to Firmicutes and reduced overall diversity [9]. More recently in a Spanish cohort, people with T1D had increased abundance of Clostridium, Bacteroides and Veillonella and reduced abundance of Bifidobacterium and Lactobacillus compared to controls [10]. Interestingly the latter two organisms are regarded as beneficial and have been used extensively as probiotic candidates. Overall these findings indicate that interactions between the intestinal microbiota and the innate immune system are critical for disease development [9,11]. However, T1D has a wide spectrum of severity and these studies tend to

focus on people at who are most at risk at the time of diagnosis. Thus an important knowledge gap remains in the literature regarding the status of people in adulthood with longstanding diabetes. Moreover, there is limited data examining such individuals who are intensively managed, demonstrating good glycaemic control and high levels of physical fitness.

This study seeks to explore gut microbiota in people with T1D and good glycaemic control and high levels of physical-fitness, matched to people without diabetes. While the gut microbiota potentially contributes to the T1D onset, we aimed to determine if long-term active suffers are able to develop a gut microbiome comparable to healthy controls or if important differences persist long after onset.

Materials and Methods

Participant recruitment and preliminary testing

Fully informed written consent was obtained from all persons following the study's approval from National Health Service NRES Committee - Tyne and Wear South. Participants attended the Newcastle National Institute for Health Research Clinical Research Facility to establish peak cardio-respiratory parameters during the completion of an incremental-maximal treadmill running protocol as previously described [12]. Participants provided stool material on tissue paper that was deposited in a sterile falcon tube and stored at -80 ºC until processing. Tissue paper was sterilised under UV and a negative control sample of toilet paper was also carried out.

T1D eligibility criteria consisted of being aged between 18-35 years, a duration of diabetes > 5 years, and an HbA_{1c} < 8.0% (64 mmol/mol). In addition, people with T1D were required to be absent of diabetes-related complications, other than mild-background retinopathy, not receiving any medication other than insulin (assessed against recent medical notes), and regularly and consistently undertaking exercise (participating in aerobic based exercise for a minimum of 30 minutes at a time, at least three times per week). Ten male people with T1D were recruited (aged 27±2 years, BMI 23.5±0.7 $kg.m^2$, VO_2peak 51.3±2.2 ml/kg/min, duration of diabetes 12±2 years, HbA_{1c} 7.1±0.4% [54.5±2.1 mmol/mol]). Patients were treated with a basal-bolus regimen composed of long-acting insulins glargine (n = 8) or detemir (n = 2), and rapid-acting insulin aspart. Eligibility criteria for non-diabetic control participants consisted of being between 18-35 years, regularly and consistently undertaking exercise. Ten male people without diabetes (CON) were recruited (aged 27±2 years, BMI 22.4±0.8 kg/m^2, VO_2max 50.9±1.2 ml/kg/min). T1D and CON groups were matched for age, fitness and BMI (P>0.05). Both groups were habitually consuming a predominantly

carbohydrate rich diet (>60% carbohydrate) assessed via 24 hour recall. Study demographics are summarised in Table 1.

16S rRNA gene bacterial profiling

Participants were provided 3 sections of toilet paper from the same roll that had all undergone UV sterilisation. Following excrement the participants used the toilet paper once, the soiled tissue was then collected in sterile universal tubes. Nucleic acid extraction of stool was carried out on a section of the soiled toilet paper using the PowerLyzer™ PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio, CA, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Bacterial profiling utilised the 16S rRNA gene targeting variable region 4 and was carried out by NU-OMICS (Northumbria University) based on the Schloss wet-lab MiSeq SOP and resulting. raw fastq data were processed using Mothur (version 1.31.2) as described previously [13]. Briefly, combined reads were trimmed to 275 reads with 0 ambiguous bases. Chimeric sequences were detected by Chimera.uchime and removed from downstream analysis. Alignment was generated via the Silva v4 database [14] and Chloroplast, Mitochondria, unknown, Archaea, and Eukaryota linages were removed from the analysis. In total, 5,165,964 reads were generated from the 20 samples. Sequences were deposited in MG-RAST under the accession numbers 4603090.3 - 4603109.3.

Statistical analysis

Data was normalised by subsampling and rarefying all samples to 104,142 reads. The data was automatically transformed and analysed by principal coordinate analysis (PCA) using SIMCA 13.0 (Umetrics, Stockholm, Sweden) [15]. The community structure between the T1D and CON groups were analysed by Parsimony and weighted UniFrac analysis [16]. Significant operational taxonomic unit (OTUs) were classified by the metastats function in

129 Mothur using 1000 permutations with multiple hypothesis testing correction [17].
130 Phylogenetic investigation of communities by reconstruction of unobserved states (PICRUSt)
131 was implemented to predict functional content of the bacterial OTUs [18].

Results

The number of reads used in the subsampling (104,142) facilitated robust coverage of the gut microbiota of each individual in the cohort. No significant difference was found between the T1D and control groups using Parsimony (P = 0.309) and weighted UniFrac (P = 0.107) Faecalibacterium sp., Roseburia sp., and Bacteroides sp. were typically the most abundant members of the community in both T1D and CON and were present in every sample in the cohort (Figure 1). Levels of Bacteroides sp. tended to be higher in CON (P = 0.06) and Bifidobacterium sp. tended to be higher in T1D (P = 0.08), but neither was significant.

The bacterial profiles of T1D were comparable to the CON group with no distinct clusters based on the bacterial profiles (Figure 2A). To account for potential false negatives resulting from some people with T1D, where HbA_{1c} was outside the range for truly excellent control, further ordination analysis was conducted by stratifying T1D by HbA_{1c} by > or < 53 mmol/mol. PCA analysis with this classification showed no distinct clustering based on the overall bacterial community, with resulting PLS-DA predictive (Q) scores of -0.106 in >53 mmol/mol and 0.022 in <53, where scores of >0.5 represent significant differences and predictively between the groups (Supplementary Figure 1). Only 17 OTUs from a total of 3,062 were found to be significantly different between the groups (Table 2). Actinomyces sp. (OTU00428) was the most significant OTU (P = 0.008) in the T1D group and this was most associated with the T1D group in the PLS-DA loadings plot (Figure 2B). However, this OTU was detected in all but 2 participants (both from CON) and only compromised of 62 reads from a total of 2,082,840 (0.003%), where 49 reads were from people with T1D and 13 reads were from CON. No significant difference (P = 0.344) was found in the Shannon Diversity (H') between each group. The average T1D H' was 3.37 (range 2.16 – 3.92), whereas the CON H' was 3.13 (range 2.62 – 4.49).

PICRUSt was implemented to predict functional content of the bacterial OTUs. This showed that despite the relatively large variation in of the bacterial community between individuals, the functional profiles were much more comparable (Figure 3). Functional profiles from the T1D group were comparable to that of the CON group.

Discussion

Alterations in the gut microbiota, whether causative or as a result of T1D, may have important implications for the health of people with T1D. The aim of the present study was to explore gut microbiota in people with T1D but good glycaemic control and high levels of physical-fitness, matched to people without diabetes. We show for the first time that intensively managed T1D suffers with optimal glycaemic control and good physical-fitness display comparable gut microbiota profiles to matched non-T1D individuals.

The gut microbiota profiles were highly individual across the whole cohort, but there is general conformity between the most dominant members of the community. *Faecalibacterium* sp., *Roseburia* sp., and *Bacteroides* sp. were found to be the most abundant in the cohort and generally represented a substantial proportion of the gut microbiota in each person. These have been previously shown to be prevalent in a healthy adult gut microbiota [19]. The most significant OTUs driving the separation of the T1D and control gut communities were generally low in abundance and reflected only a small proportion of the overall reads. For example the Actinomyces sp. (OTU00428), which was the most significant OTU in the T1D group, only compromised of 62 reads (49 reads from T1D group) from a total of 2,082,840 (0.003%). Thus OTUs with such universally low relative abundance are unlikely to be contributing to disease pathophysiology and implying causality to disease should be avoided. While the cohort employed in this study is small, 10 T1D suffers are comparable to that of previously published studies and should not influence the lack of clinically important OTUs discriminating people with T1D and controls [10]. Previous studies have also inferred associations at diagnosis of increasing *Bacteroides* and reduced *Bifidobacterium* in T1D [9,10]. While these organisms were relatively abundant overall we see opposing trends, with lower *Bacteroides* and increased *Bifidobacterium* in T1D; although

these differences are noteworthy they were not significant, but further work in a larger cohort is necessary to confirm these observations.

The Shannon diversity was comparable between T1D and controls with no significant difference found between the groups. Interestingly, previous studies suggest that children with T1D undergo dysbiosis of the gut microbiota, resulting in reduced diversity compared to people without diabetes [9,20]. The diversity reported in this study is comparable to that of a non-T1D adult population, but a lack of published aged-matched controls prevents any comparison with T1D adults. Nonetheless, the observation that active adults with T1D have a similar diversity to adults without T1D is important.

Previous studies have suggested an increase of butyrate-producing and mucin-degrading bacteria in controls, whereas bacteria that produce short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) other than butyrate were higher in disease cases [21]. Thus synthetic pathways may represent a key etiological trigger in the onset of T1D. Functional analysis of the bacterial community in this dataset demonstrated comparability between the bacterial pathways of the OTUs found in people with T1D and matched controls. Despite large variation at the OTU level, the function profiles showed much greater comparability, as has been previously reported [22]. Noteworthy is that these functional pathways represent only those of the bacterial community based on the classification OTUs and thus do not account for differential gene expression between the two groups.

Given the individual nature of the gut microbiota within each group of the cohort, it is perhaps not surprising that the ordination analysis of the bacterial profiles showed no distinct separation of people with T1D and matched controls. Thus, in adulthood the gut microbiota is not significantly altered in active persons as a result of being diagnosed with T1D. Notably this finding was not influenced when the T1D group was further stratified to account for

208 ranging HbA_{1c}. Existing comparable data is limited, with studies to date focusing on
209 differences in the gut microbiota in patients at the time of diagnosis (i.e. childhood) [9,10].
210 While the gut microbiota may serve as an environmental trigger in the onset of T1D in
211 patients where genetic elements alone cannot account for the pathogenesis, an important
212 finding of this study is that active T1D adults have a gut microbiota reflective of non-T1D
213 adults. Further work should sample greater numbers of people temporally and seek to include
214 sedentary sufferers and those with poorer glycaemic control. Future work should also
215 consider T1D patients with other pathologies, such as retinopathy or cardiovascular disease.
216 Considering the lack of available data pertaining to the influence of exercise on gut
217 microbiota, profiling patients across a range of glycaemic control and physical-activity levels
218 is warranted to ascertain whether alterations in gut microbiota are influenced by exercise,
219 glycaemic control, or both, and if intervention or therapeutic manipulation of the gut
220 microbiota could confer improvements to well-being. The potential influence of differences
221 in HLA genotype between those with and without T1D should also be considered in future
222 studies.

223 In summary, this study confirmed existing data relating to the dominant bacterial organisms
224 in the healthy active adult gut microbiota. Importantly, we show that both gut microbiota and
225 resulting functional bacterial profiles from people with longstanding T1D in good glycaemic
226 control and high physical-fitness levels are comparable to matched people without diabetes.

COMPETING INTERESTS

None to declare.

FUNDING

This research was funded by an internal research grant from Northumbria University. Funders played no part in the study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

References

1. Knip M, Akerblom HK. Environmental factors in the pathogenesis of type 1 diabetes mellitus. Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes. 1999;107 Suppl S93–100. doi:10.1055/s-0029-1212160

2. Patterson CC, Gyürüs E, Rosenbauer J, Cinek O, Neu A, Schober E, et al. Trends in childhood type 1 diabetes incidence in Europe during 1989-2008: evidence of non-uniformity over time in rates of increase. Diabetologia. 2012;55: 2142–7. doi:10.1007/s00125-012-2571-8

3. Stewart CJ, Nelson A, Scribbins D, Marrs ECL, Perry JD, Embleton ND, et al. Bacterial and fungal viability in the preterm gut: NEC and sepsis. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2013;98: F298–303. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2012-302119

4. Raman M, Ahmed I, Gillevet PM, Probert CS, Ratcliffe NM, Smith S, et al. Fecal microbiome and volatile organic compound metabolome in obese humans with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. Elsevier Inc.; 2013;11: 868–875. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2013.02.015

5. Conte MP, Schippa S, Zamboni I, Penta M, Chiarini F, Seganti L, et al. Gut-associated bacterial microbiota in paediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Gut. 2006;55: 1760–1767. doi:10.1136/gut.2005.078824

6. Calcinaro F, Dionisi S, Marinaro M, Candeloro P, Bonato V, Marzotti S, et al. Oral probiotic administration induces interleukin-10 production and prevents spontaneous autoimmune diabetes in the non-obese diabetic mouse. Diabetologia. 2005;48: 1565–75. doi:10.1007/s00125-005-1831-2

7. Antunes LCM, Han J, Ferreira RBR, Lolić P, Borchers CH, Finlay BB. Effect of antibiotic treatment on the intestinal metabolome. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55: 1494–503. doi:10.1128/AAC.01664-10

8. Hansen CHF, Krych L, Nielsen DS, Vogensen FK, Hansen LH, Sørensen SJ, et al. Early life treatment with vancomycin propagates Akkermansia muciniphila and reduces diabetes incidence in the NOD mouse. Diabetologia. 2012;55: 2285–94. doi:10.1007/s00125-012-2564-7

9. Giongo A, Gano KA, Crabb DB, Mukherjee N, Novelo LL, Casella G, et al. Toward defining the autoimmune microbiome for type 1 diabetes. ISME J. International Society for Microbial Ecology; 2011;5: 82–91. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.92

10. Murri M, Leiva I, Gomez-Zumaquero JM, Tinahones FJ, Cardona F, Soriguer F, et al. Gut microbiota in children with type 1 diabetes differs from that in healthy children: a case-control study. BMC Med. 2013;11: 46. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-46

11. Atkinson MA, Chervonsky A. Does the gut microbiota have a role in type 1 diabetes? Early evidence from humans and animal models of the disease. Diabetologia. 2012;55: 2868–77. doi:10.1007/s00125-012-2672-4

12. Campbell MD, Walker M, Trenell MI, Luzio S, Dunseath G, Tuner D, et al. Metabolic implications when employing heavy pre- and post-exercise rapid-acting insulin reductions to prevent hypoglycaemia in type 1 diabetes patients: a randomised clinical trial. PLoS One. 2014;9: e97143. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0097143

13. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013;79: 5112–20. doi:10.1128/AEM.01043-13

14. Schloss PD, Gevers D, Westcott SL. Reducing the Effects of PCR Amplification and Sequencing Artifacts on 16S rRNA-Based Studies. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2011;6: e27310. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027310

15. Eriksson L, Johansson E, Kettaneh-Wold N. Multi-and Megavariate Data Analysis, Part 2, Advanced Applications and Method Extensions. MKS Umetrics AB; 2006. p. 307.

16. Lozupone C, Lladser ME, Knights D, Stombaugh J, Knight R. UniFrac: an effective distance metric for microbial community comparison. ISME J. Nature Publishing Group; 2011;5: 169–72. doi:10.1038/ismej.2010.133

17. White JR, Nagarajan N, Pop M. Statistical methods for detecting differentially abundant features in clinical metagenomic samples. PLoS Comput Biol. Public Library of Science; 2009;5: e1000352. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000352

18. Langille MGI, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, et al. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nat Biotechnol. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.; 2013;31: 814–21. doi:10.1038/nbt.2676

19. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature. 2010;464: 59–65. doi:10.1038/nature08821

20. Kostic AD, Gevers D, Siljander H, Vatanen T, Hyötyläinen T, Hämäläinen A-M, et al. The Dynamics of the Human Infant Gut Microbiome in Development and in Progression toward Type 1 Diabetes. Cell Host Microbe. Elsevier; 2015;17: 260–273. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.001

21. Brown CT, Davis-Richardson AG, Giongo A, Gano KA, Crabb DB, Mukherjee N, et al. Gut microbiome metagenomics analysis suggests a functional model for the development of autoimmunity for type 1 diabetes. PLoS One. 2011;6: e25792. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025792

22. Turnbaugh PJ, Hamady M, Yatsunenko T, Cantarel BL, Ley RE, Sogin ML, et al. A core gut microbiom in obese and lean twins. Nature. 2009;457: 480–484. doi:10.1038/nature07540.A

Table 1 – Individual participant characteristics

Group	Subject ID	Age (years)	BMI	VO_{2peak} (ml/kg/min)	Fasting Blood Glucose (mMol/L)	Diabetes Duration (years)	HbA_{1c} (mmol/mol)
Control	C1	25	22.1	50	4.20		
	C2	23	21.4	51	4.32		
	C3	31	21.7	56	4.33		
	C4	30	20.1	52	3.87		
	C5	28	26.9	48	3.46		
	C6	26	21.4	55	4.02		
	C7	26	23.7	50	3.29		
	C8	30	25.4	51	4.22		
	C9	25	21.8	45	4.28		
	C10	26	20.4	49	4.22		
T1D	T1	29	22.8	57	5.44	5	54
	T2	24	25.9	48	5.75	11	42
	T3	19	22.5	64	5.01	12	49
	T4	34	22.4	50	3.90	5	60
	T5	21	22.5	56	8.43	12	55
	T6	33	27.1	52	7.32	19	58
	T7	29	26.9	41	6.45	5	58
	T8	25	22.8	51	6.31	24	43
	T9	24	22.4	45	3.45	13	50
	T10	31	22.5	46	3.22	19	61

VO_{2peak}: peak oxygen uptake; BMI: Body mass index. Between group comparisons assessed with independent samples t-test.

Table 2 – OTUs which differ significantly between T1D and matched controls

Group	P value	OTU	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus
CON	0.003	Otu00082	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Lachnospiraceae	unclassified
CON	0.017	Otu01214	Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Bacillaceae_1	Anoxybacillus
CON	0.019	Otu00865	Proteobacteria	Alphaproteobacteria	Rhizobiales	Aurantimonadaceae	Aurantimonas
CON	0.021	Otu00820	Deinococcus-Thermus	Deinococci	Deinococcales	Deinococcaceae	Deinococcus
CON	0.026	Otu00625	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae_1	Clostridium_sensu_stricto
CON	0.027	Otu00217	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Lachnospiraceae	Coprococcus
CON	0.027	Otu00230	Proteobacteria	Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiales	unclassified	unclassified
CON	0.032	Otu00807	Proteobacteria	Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiales	Comamonadaceae	Schlegelella
CON	0.033	Otu01323	Proteobacteria	Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiales	unclassified	unclassified
CON	0.036	Otu01060	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Coriobacteriales	Coriobacteriaceae	unclassified
CON	0.039	Otu00363	Proteobacteria	Betaproteobacteria	Rhodocyclales	Rhodocyclaceae	Zoogloea
CON	0.041	Otu00384	Proteobacteria	Betaproteobacteria	Burkholderiales	Comamonadaceae	unclassified
T1D	0.008	Otu00428	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Actinomycetales	Actinomycetaceae	Actinomyces
T1D	0.03	Otu00020	Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Coriobacteriales	Coriobacteriaceae	Collinsella
T1D	0.03	Otu00021	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Lachnospiraceae	unclassified
T1D	0.047	Otu00023	Firmicutes	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Lachnospiraceae	unclassified
T1D	0.047	Otu00025	Firmicutes	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Veillonellaceae	Dialister

Figure Legends

Figure 1 – Bar Chart of OTUs from type 1 (T1) diabetes and matched controls. Each OTU represented as a % of the total community. Samples ordered by *Faecalibacterium* abundance.

Figure 2 – SIMCA analysis of type 1 (T1) diabetes samples and matched control. A) PCA score scatter plot. R2X[1] = 0.124, R2X[2] = 0.0998. B) Loadings Plot showing taxa associated with each group. Green (Y) represents each OTU detected, where only the significantly different OTUs between cases and control are labelled. Blue (X) shows different classification of the model, where OTUs associated with control samples are shown on the upper right and OTUs associated with cases are shown on the lower left.

Figure 3 – Bar Chart of PICRUSt analysis from type 1 diabetes and matched controls. Each function represented as a % of the total community. Samples ordered in accordance with Figure 1.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Supplementary Figure 1 – PCA analysis of type 1 diabetes (T) samples and matched controls (C), with the T1D group split to account for differing glycaemic control. T1D samples split by HbA_{1c} >53 mmol/mol (orange) and HbA_{1c} <53 mmol/mol with PLS-DA scores of -0.106 and 0.022, respectively.