

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Bellard, Ashleigh, Cornelissen, Piers, Mian, Emanuel and Cazzato, Valentina (2021) The ageing body : contributing attitudinal factors towards perceptual body size estimates in younger and middle-aged women. Archives of Women's Mental Health, 24 (1). pp. 93-105. ISSN 1434-1816

Published by: UNSPECIFIED

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link: <http://northumbria-test.eprints-hosting.org/id/eprint/54293/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



Northumbria
University
NEWCASTLE

1 **The ageing body: contributing attitudinal factors towards perceptual body size**
2 **estimates in younger and middle-aged women**

3
4 Ashleigh Bellard¹, Piers Cornelissen², Emanuel Mian³, Valentina Cazzato¹

5
6 ¹School of Psychology, Faculty of Health, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

7 ²Department of Psychology, Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK

8 ³Interdisciplinary Centre for Obesity and Bariatrics (C.I.B.O.), Istituti Clinici Zucchi, via
9 Zucchi 24, 20052 Monza, Italy

10
11 **Corresponding author:** Requests for reprints should be addressed to Valentina Cazzato,
12 School of Psychology, Faculty of Health, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street,
13 Liverpool, L3 3AF. E-mail: V.Cazzato@ljmu.ac.uk, Tel.: +44 151 904 6340

37 **Title:** The ageing body: contributing attitudinal factors towards perceptual body size
38 estimates in younger and middle-aged women

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66 **Declarations**

67

68 **Authors' contributions:** VC conceived the study. AB, PC and EM contributed to the concept
69 and design of the study. AB performed data collection. AB performed the analyses under
70 supervision of VC and PC. The first draft of the manuscript was written by AB, with input from
71 VC and PC. All authors approved the manuscript before submission.

72

73 **Acknowledgements:** This research was financially supported by an Experimental Psychology
74 Society Small Grant awarded to VC. The authors thank Verena Pisani for her assistance with
75 data collection.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100 **Abstract**

101

102 *Objectives:* Over-estimation of body size, a core feature of Eating Disorders (EDs), has been
103 well-documented both in young healthy and EDs individuals. Yet, evidence that altered body
104 perception might also affect older women is limited. Here, we examined whether attitudinal
105 components of body image (i.e., the feelings an individual has about their body size and
106 shape) might affect perceived actual and ideal body shape self-estimates in midlife, similarly
107 to younger women.

108

109 *Methods:* Thirty-two younger (mean age: 24.22yrs) and 33 middle-aged (mean age: 53.79yrs)
110 women took part to a computerised body perception assessment of perceived, actual and ideal
111 aspects of body image. Body Mass Index (BMI), societal and interpersonal aspects of
112 appearance ideals, measured by means of Sociocultural Attitudes towards Appearance
113 Questionnaire (SATAQ-4) and assessment of body uneasiness and concerns for specific body
114 parts, measured by Body Uneasiness Test (BUT-A/B) scales were also investigated.

115

116 *Results:* Younger and middle-aged women with larger BMI showed greater discrepancy in
117 perceptual distortions from their perceived actual body size. However, middle-aged women
118 with greater body-part concerns over-estimated their perceived body size, as opposed to
119 younger women who were almost accurate. Unlike middle-aged women, younger women
120 with higher body part concerns desired slimmer ideal body image than their perceived actual.

121

122 *Conclusions:* Results suggest distortions in the perceived actual and ideal body size self-
123 estimates of younger and middle-aged women are best explained by a combination of BMI,
124 body parts concerns, and the particular age group to which a participant belonged. In future, a
125 personalized approach for the assessment of women's perceptions and concerns of specific
126 body areas during lifespan should be adopted.

127

128 **Keywords:** Attitudinal; Perceptual; Body Image; Body size estimates; Middle-aged; BMI;

129

130

131

132

133

134 **Introduction**

135 Body image is a multidimensional construct that represents an individual's conscious
136 perception of, and attitude towards, their bodily appearance (Arbour & Ginis, 2008;
137 Reboussin et al., 2000; Tiggemann, 2004). It is strongly associated with an individual's
138 wellbeing and their satisfaction with life (Donaghue, 2009). According to an influential meta-
139 analysis by Cash and Deagle (1997), dimensions relevant to the body image construct
140 substantially include: i) a perceptual component which corresponds to the accuracy with
141 which an individual can judge the physical dimensions of their own body and ii) an
142 attitudinal, affective component related to their attitudes and emotions they have about their
143 body, which may be positive or negative.

144 Excessive concerns about body weight and appearance are common amongst
145 individuals in western cultures, and presage the development of eating disorders (EDs), such
146 as anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (Ricciardelli & McCabe, 2004; Slevec &
147 Tiggemann, 2011a; Stice, 2002). Typically, individuals with severe body image concerns
148 focus on the desire to appear thinner (Slevec & Tiggemann, 2011a) and tend to over-estimate
149 the body size they believe themselves to have (Schuk et al., 2018).

150 Most research into people's body image concerns and eating attitudes has been
151 conducted in adolescents and young adults, with a particular focus on female university
152 undergraduates aged 18-24 years (Slevec & Tiggemann, 2011b). This coincides with the
153 median age of onset for EDs (Hudson et al., 2007; Favaro et al., 2003), with AN being
154 particularly prevalent in this age group (Smink et al., 2012). Nevertheless, as pointed out by
155 Saucier (2004) and Tiggemann (2004), comparably high levels of body image concerns may
156 occur at any age, including women of middle age who represent the focus for the current
157 study. As with their younger colleagues (Wardle et al., 2006), middle-aged women can
158 experience negative feelings and attitudes towards their body, such as body dissatisfaction
159 and drive for thinness (Bane & McAuley, 1998; Longo et al., 2009) along with over-
160 estimation of their body size (Hayashi et al., 2006, but see Monteath & McCabe, 1997 and
161 Paul et al., 2015 for opposite results in the general population) leading to an increased risk for
162 late onset EDs (Marcus et al., 2007; Cumella & Kally, 2008; Hoek, 2006; Slevec &
163 Tiggemann, 2011a).

164 However, unlike young women, some of the factors giving rise to distorted body
165 image in middle-age emerge from naturally occurring age-related processes. These include an
166 increase in body weight/fat distribution due to the menopause and a decrease in muscle mass,
167 which may also be side effects of different medications (Davis et al., 2012; Genazzani &

168 Gambacciani, 2006; Tchkonina et al., 2010; Vanina et al., 2002). As a result of these natural
169 occurring age-related changes in their body shape, middle-aged women may exert more of a
170 need for slimmer weight control to be classed as ‘attractive’, as they still show attentiveness
171 towards their bodily appearance (Lewis & Cachelin, 2001; McCabe et al., 2007; Pruis &
172 Janowsky, 2010). Linked to the need to maintain a thinner body size, Marcus and colleagues
173 (2007) have identified an increase in the number of middle-aged women being diagnosed
174 with an EDs i.e., 175 out of 589 Middle-aged women of various ethnicities reported having
175 an ED, predominately AN.

176 Furthermore, although several studies suggest body image in younger women is more
177 susceptible to societal influence to attain a slim physique than in older women (Lewis &
178 Cachelin, 2001), others reported that societal influence is also a predictor of body
179 dissatisfaction, drive for thinness, and body shape concerns in older women (Pruis &
180 Janowsky, 2010). This suggests that societal influence is an important factor in the
181 development and maintenance of negative attitudes towards body image of older women, and
182 that it may be pertinent to understanding body image in this age group.

183 Put together, findings like these suggest the need for further research specifically
184 targeting middle-aged women. By shedding light on the perceptual mechanisms and women’s
185 attitudes and feelings towards their body shape, it might be possible to provide further insight
186 into the predictive factors that may trigger, maintain and exacerbate symptoms in those
187 psychiatric conditions characterised by body image disturbances (e.g., EDs and Body
188 Dysmorphic Disorders) and hopefully contribute to the development of novel individualised
189 body image treatments in lifespan.

190

191 **The Current Study**

192

193 In this study, we aimed at investigating whether performance in tasks that measure
194 perceptual (i.e., participants’ estimates of their own body shape) and attitudinal aspects (i.e.,
195 feelings and attitudes towards body shape and size) of body image, that are relevant to the
196 development of EDs symptomatology, are essentially the same or different in younger
197 compared to middle-aged women. With this aim, in separate samples of younger and middle-
198 aged women, we assessed perceptual self-estimates of perceived current (i.e., ‘How do you
199 think you look like?’) and ideal (i.e., ‘How would you like to appear?’) body shapes, by
200 means of a unique 2D digital computer-based distortion optical method, the Body Image
201 Revealer (BIR, Mian & Gerbino, 2009). In addition, we obtained measures of women’s

202 attitudinal body image, by means of a battery of standard self-report scales to index women's
203 feelings and attitudes towards their body shape and beauty ideals. With these regards, we felt
204 it was important to have a wider range of self-report scales than has often been the case in
205 similar studies of younger women. For example, in three such studies, Cornelissen and
206 colleagues used the Beck Depression Inventory, the Rosenberg Self Esteem scale, the Body
207 Shape Questionnaire, and the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire to measure
208 participants' attitudes to body shape, weight, eating, self-esteem and depressive
209 symptomatology (Cornelissen et al., 2015, 2017; Irvine et al., 2018). In each study, a
210 principal component analysis (PCA) of the psychometric responses showed that the data
211 could be compressed onto a *single* principal component, or dimension, suggesting a rather
212 restricted view of participants' attitudinal body image. Therefore, here we chose a wider
213 spectrum of measurement including a measure of the cultural and interpersonal risk factors,
214 such as internalization of appearance ideals and appearance-related pressures which have
215 been implicated in the aetiology of negative body image and eating pathology (Cafri et al.,
216 2005; Stice, 2002), here assessed by means of the Sociocultural Attitudes Towards
217 Appearance Questionnaire-4 (SATAQ-4, Schaefer et al., 2015). Furthermore, given that
218 healthy and clinical populations often report great dissatisfaction with body areas like weight
219 and torso (lower, mid and upper) (see Rosen & Ramirez, 1998; Hrabosky et al., 2009), but
220 also buttocks/hips/thighs, stomach and waist regions (Toh et al., 2019; Ralph-Nearman et al.,
221 2019), we administered a measure of body uneasiness and dissatisfaction for the whole body
222 and for specific body parts, by means of the Body Uneasiness Test (BUT, Cuzzolaro et al.,
223 1999). Anthropometric measures of Body Mass Index (BMI) were also measured. Finally, we
224 applied a multivariate analysis to investigate how similar was the pattern of responses across
225 the two age groups.

226 Consistent with the view of a multidimensional model of body image (Cash & Deagle,
227 1987), we expected that perceptual self-estimates of perceived current and ideal body shape
228 should best be predicted by a combination of participants own' BMI and their attitudes and
229 feelings towards their body shape/body parts, as well as internalization of beauty ideals. In
230 agreement with Pruis and Janowsky' results (2010) which provided evidence that ratings of
231 body image do not differ in normal, healthy younger and older women when personalized
232 measures of body shape assessment (in their study women's responses to line drawings of
233 bodies in the Figure Ratings Scale), are used, we also expected that BMI and body shape
234 concerns would be predictive of women's perceptual body size estimates in a way that should
235 be similar in both age groups. However, consistent with studies suggesting stronger societal

236 influence on body image in younger than older women, particularly pressure to conform to
237 the media ideal of women's bodies (Bedford & Johnson, 2006; Lewis & Cachelin, 2001), we
238 expected younger women's perceptual body size estimates to be more influenced by their
239 levels of societal influence and pressures to attain a slim physique, compared to older women.
240

241 **Materials and Methods**

242

243 **Participants**

244 Sample size calculation was based on the data from Irvine et al. (2019). In this study, 100
245 healthy adult women carried out a number of tasks including a psychophysical procedure for
246 self-estimation of body size, they had their BMI measured and they carried out the body
247 shape questionnaire (BSQ; Evans & Dolan, 1993). First, we calculated multiple regression
248 analyses in which body size self-estimation was predicted from a combination of BMI and
249 BSQ. Then, we used PROC POWER in SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, North Carolina, USA) to
250 calculate sample sizes appropriate to estimate the effects of BMI and separately, BSQ, at an
251 alpha value = 0.01, and a power = 0.8. This rendered integer sample sizes for BMI and BSQ
252 of 23 and 59 respectively. To offset attrition in participant numbers and/or unexpected
253 sources of variability, we therefore recruited a total of 65 females (as assigned at birth) to the
254 study who gave their written consent to take part.

255 Participants, who self-identified as Caucasian, were preselected and assigned to two
256 groups based upon age: 32 participants were recruited to the younger women's group (age M
257 = 24.22 yrs, SD = 4.51 yrs, range: 18-37yrs) and 33 participants were recruited to the middle-
258 aged women's group (age M = 53.79 yrs, SD = 3.72 yrs, range: 47-65 yrs, see Table 2). All
259 participants were recruited externally through poster advertisements situated in public
260 locations, social media and through individuals known to the researcher. Younger women
261 were also recruited internally through the *** Psychology SONA participation scheme for
262 undergraduate Psychology students. Middle-aged women were also recruited internally
263 through members of staff at ***. Furthermore, some middle-aged women that had been in
264 prior lab studies (unrelated to body image) were contacted from our database of previous
265 study participants (Psychology Research Participants Panel). All participants were provided
266 with an information sheet prior to investigation, in order to check for eligibility based on the
267 study inclusion criteria, which was also confirmed on the day of the experiment. Participants
268 were only eligible to take part if they (self)reported not to have any history of neurological or
269 psychiatric disorders, including EDs, had normal or corrected visual acuity, and were not

270 pregnant. As an incentive, participants either received SONA (participation point scheme)
271 points (if undergraduate students) and/or £10 in shopping vouchers. Younger women's BMIs
272 ranged between 17.73 and 33.18 ($M = 22.74$, $SD = 4.36$) and fell into the following WHO
273 categories: 4 underweight, 20 normal, 5 overweight and 3 obese. Middle-aged women's
274 BMIs ranged between 18.93 and 38.83 ($M = 27.16$, $SD = 5.13$) and fell into the following
275 WHO categories: 15 normal, 7 overweight, 7 obese and 4 severely obese. The study's
276 experimental procedures and methods were fully approved by *** Research Ethics Board and
277 complied with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

278

279 **Assessment of body image**

280

281 **Sociocultural Attitudes towards Appearance Questionnaire**

282 The Sociocultural Attitudes towards Appearance Questionnaire-4 (SATAQ-4,
283 Thompson et al., 2004) measures a woman's drive to attain attractiveness ideals dictated by
284 societal influence (Schaefer et al., 2015). For SATAQ-4, participants evaluated each of the 22
285 items on a 5-point scale (from 1 = definitely disagree to 5 = definitely agree). The
286 questionnaire comprises of 4 subscales: internalization athletic, internalization body fat,
287 pressures from family, pressures from peers, and pressures from the media (Thompson et al.,
288 2004). This questionnaire had good internal consistency with Cronbach's alpha 0.81.

289

290 **Body Uneasiness Test**

291 The Body Uneasiness Test (Cuzzolaro et al., 2006) is considered a valuable tool for
292 the screening and for the clinical assessment of abnormal body image attitudes and EDs.
293 Particularly, it assesses body uneasiness and dissatisfaction with the whole body, as well as
294 specific body parts. It comprises of 34 questions about body experiences (BUT-A) and 37
295 questions about an individual's dislike of particular body parts (BUT-B). BUT-A is divided
296 into 5 sub-scales: weight phobia (BUT-WP), dissatisfaction regarding the body and its
297 weight, body image concerns (BUT-BIC), avoiding and compulsive self-monitoring
298 behaviour (BUT-AV, BUT-CSM) and experience of depersonalization, defined as separation
299 and foreignness regarding the body (BUT-D). These scores can be combined into a Global
300 Severity Index (GSI, the average rating of all 34 items constituting the BUT-A), which
301 indicates severity of abnormal body image concerns and eating behaviours. Each question is
302 indexed by a 6-point Likert scale, from 0 representing 'never' to 5 representing 'always'.
303 Higher scores indicate greater body uneasiness.

304 BUT-B measures specific worries about particular body parts, shapes or functions
305 (e.g., mouth or skin). These scores are arranged into a Positive Symptom Total (PST, the
306 number of symptoms rated higher than zero) and a Positive Distress Symptom Index (PDSI,
307 the average rating of those items constituting the PST). A 6-point Likert scale, which ranges
308 from 0 (never) to 5 (always) indicating how often participants happen to dislike each
309 experience or part of their body, is used. The Body Uneasiness Test showed good internal
310 consistency with Cronbach's alpha 0.90.

311

312 **Body Mass Index**

313 Each participant's actual body mass index (BMI) was physically measured and
314 calculated from their weight and height by using a calibrated bioimpedance digital scale
315 (OMRON BF511) for weight and a stadiometer for height.

316

317 **Body Image Revealer**

318 Perceived actual and ideal body size estimates were obtained by means of a computer-
319 based method, which mimics changes in adiposity by simulating an optical distortion of the
320 body. Known as the 'Body Image Revealer' (BIR; Mian & Gerbino, 2009; Cazzato et al.,
321 2015, 2016; Zamariola et al., 2017), this technique provides a measure of the discrepancy
322 between the dimensions of the real image and the sizes attributed by the participant during
323 the task. The BIR has good ecological validity because it gives participants the experience
324 equivalent to looking at their whole body in a mirror.

325 To generate the experimental stimuli, a frontal picture of each participant, standing in
326 a T-pose, was taken with a Panasonic TZ5 Lumix digital camera from a distance of 2 metres.
327 Participants wore skin-tight clothing to ensure that their body outline was clearly visible. The
328 image of a participant's was then extracted from the background in the raw image, using
329 Photoshop v7.0, and replaced on a standard white background for further image manipulation
330 in BIR. Once modified, the image was opened in the software and the experimenter selected
331 the parts that would be modified, that is from the neck to the feet (but excluding the face and
332 the arms). Importantly, whilst the experimenter was modifying the real picture, participants
333 were instructed to look away from the PC monitor, so they were not aware of the body
334 alteration. Importantly, the ecological validity of the test was increased by keeping the
335 participants' face in the final images. This way, the procedure was giving the sense that
336 participants were looking at themselves in the mirror (see Fig. 1 and Online Resource 1 for
337 more details).

338

339 -----Please insert Figure 1 here -----

340

341 **Procedure**

342 During the experimental session, consenting participants' height and weight was
343 physically measured by using a calibrated bioimpedance digital scale and a stadiometer and
344 then their portrait taken. While this image was being edited, participants completed the
345 demographic questionnaires. Once completed, participants were sat 55 cm in front of the
346 display monitor and were asked to adjust their image according to two tasks read out to them:
347 Perceived Actual body image ('How do you think you look like?') and Ideal body image
348 ('How would you like to appear?'). By pressing the plus (+) or minus (-) key on the
349 keyboard, participants were able to increase or decrease the apparent adiposity of the image
350 within a possible range of $\pm 50\%$ in 1% increments/decrements (see Online Resource 1).
351 Participants could adjust the degree of distortion of the picture as much as they wanted. After
352 completion of the two self-body distortion tasks, participants were instructed to fill out the
353 BUT-A/B and SATAQ-4 questionnaires. Overall, testing lasted 45 minutes.

354

355 **Statistical Analyses**

356 All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS v9.4 (SAS Institute, North
357 Carolina, USA). In keeping with previous studies (Cazzato et al., 2014, 2016), the average
358 percentage body percentage distortion (%BDS) was calculated across all trials, separately for
359 each individual and the two tasks (i.e., perceived actual body size, ideal body size).

360 We wanted to model the relationships between participants' estimates of their
361 perceived actual and ideal body size predicted from participants' AGE. In addition, we
362 wanted to control for any influence of BMI and the psychometric variables (BUT-A/B and
363 SATAQ-4). In order to avoid the possibility of introducing substantial variance inflation into
364 the models, we first checked for evidence of co-linearity amongst the psychometric variables.

365 We used PROC CORR in SAS v9.4 to compute Pearson's correlations between all
366 self-report psychometric task subscales, to look for potential association within and between
367 the responses to the BUT-A, BUT-B, and SATAQ-4. Given that this analysis demonstrated
368 substantial correlations amongst these variables (see Table 1), we then used PROC FACTOR
369 in SAS v9.4 to carry out a PCA on this correlation matrix, to identify the smallest number of
370 statistically independent dimensions in the psychometric tasks that we could use as covariates
371 in our multivariate analysis, and avoid variance inflation due to multicollinearity amongst

372 explanatory variables. We found four components, corresponding to: i) the body part
373 responses in the BUT (referred to henceforth as BUT-Parts); ii) attitudinal responses in the
374 BUT (referred to henceforth as BUT-Att); iii) responses related to social pressure from the
375 SATAQ-4 (referred to henceforth as SATAQ-Press); iv) responses related to internalization
376 from the SATAQ-4 (referred to henceforth as SATAQ-Int) (see Online Resource 2).

377 In the last step, we used PROC MIXED in SAS v9.4 to build separate linear mixed
378 effects models of percentage distortion for perceived actual and ideal body size judgements.
379 For each model we included as putative fixed effects: age, BMI, BUT-Parts, BUT-Att,
380 SATAQ-Press, and SATAQ-Int, all of which were continuous explanatory variables.
381 Critically, we also tested all possible two-way interaction terms. Note that for the sake of
382 easy visualisation, instead of illustrating the response surface from the statistical models as
383 continuous 3D surface plots, consistent with the data, we plotted 2D slices through these
384 response surfaces which show the data separated into two age groups (see Fig. 2a and 2b).

385

386 -----Please insert Table 1 here-----

387

388 **Results**

389

390 **Univariate Statistics**

391

392 Table 2 shows means and standard deviations for the demographic and psychometric
393 questionnaire subscale scores, separately for younger and middle-aged women. The right-
394 hand column of Table 2 shows the output of pairwise comparisons between these two groups,
395 adjusted for multiple comparisons, using the permutation method in PROC MULTEST (SAS
396 262 v9.4). Middle-aged women were indeed significantly older, had higher BMIs, and
397 reported greater concerns on the thighs sub-scale of the BUT-B (this includes questions
398 about: stomach, abdomen, hips, thighs, and knees) than younger women.

399 Additional demographic characteristics (ethnicity, handedness, and regular menstrual
400 cycle) are reported in Table 3. We conducted a Chi-Square analysis between young and
401 middle-aged women to investigate whether there were any differences in characteristics
402 between these two groups. There were no significant differences for ethnicity ($\chi^2_1 = 2.00$; $p =$
403 $.157$) and handedness ($\chi^2_1 = 2.60$; $p = .107$) between groups. As expected, there was a
404 significant difference for regular menstrual cycle ($\chi^2_1 = 32.32$; $p < .001$) between groups.

405

406 -----Please insert Table 2 here-----

407 -----Please insert Table 3 here-----

408

409 **Perceived Actual Body Size**

410

411 Table 4 shows the correlation matrices between the four principal components (BUT-
412 Parts, BUT-Att, SATAQ-Press, SATAQ-Int), age, BMI, and percentage distortion for
413 participants' estimates of their perceived actual body size (%BDS), calculated separately for
414 younger and middle-aged women.

415 For middle-aged women, percentage distortion for their perceived actual body size
416 was significantly, positively correlated with BMI, BUT-Parts and BUT-Att, but not with
417 SATAQ-Press or SATAQ-Int. BMI was significantly, positively correlated with BUT-Att and
418 SATAQ-Press but not with BUT-Parts or SATAQ-Int. For the younger women, percentage
419 distortion (%BDS) for the perceived actual body size was significantly, positively correlated
420 with BMI and SATAQ-Press, but not with any other component. BMI was significantly,
421 positively correlated with BUT-Parts, BUT-Att, and SATAQ-Press, but not SATAQ-Int (see
422 Table 4).

423

424 -----Please insert Table 4 here-----

425

426 We used PROC MIXED (SAS v9.4) to model percentage distortion for perceived
427 actual body size. We found statistically significant main effects of BMI, $F(1,60) = 17.19$,
428 $p < .001$, and BUT-Parts, $F(1,60) = 7.31$, $p = .01$. Critically, however, the effect of BUT-
429 Parts was age dependent, because we found a significant interaction between age and
430 BUT-Parts, $F(1,60) = 12.13$, $p < .001$.

431 Figure 2a shows clearly that over-estimation of perceived actual body size increases
432 as a function of increasing concerns about body parts in middle-aged women. Statistically,
433 however, there is no relationship between percentage distortion and BUT-Parts for
434 younger women, $F(1, 28) = 3.14$, $p = .087$. Moreover, since the 95% CI for their regression
435 line includes 0% body distortion, we conclude that these groups were mostly accurate in their
436 judgments.

437 Figure 2b shows plots of percentage distortion for perceived actual body size as a
438 function of participants' BMI, with the same colour scheme for younger and middle-aged
439 women. Statistically, the two groups were indistinguishable, and showed a significant

440 tendency to over-estimate their perceived actual body size with increasing BMI. These
441 results suggest that distortions in perceived actual body size estimation of younger and
442 middle-aged women are best explained by a combination of BMI, concern for body parts,
443 and the particular age group to which a participant belonged.

444

445 **Ideal Body Size**

446

447 Table 5 shows the correlation matrices between the four principal components (BUT-
448 Parts, BUT-Att, SATAQ-Press, SATAQ-Int), age, BMI, and percentage distortion for
449 participants' estimates of their ideal body size, calculated separately for younger and middle-
450 aged women.

451 For middle-aged women, percentage distortion for their ideal body size was not
452 significantly correlated with BMI or any principal component. BMI was significantly,
453 positively correlated with BUT-Att and SATAQ-Press, but not with BUT-Parts or SATAQ-
454 Int. For the younger women, percentage distortion was significantly, negatively correlated
455 with BUT-Parts, but neither with BMI nor any other component. BMI was significantly,
456 positively correlated with BUT-Parts, BUT-Att and SATAQ-Press, but not with SATAQ-Int
457 (see Table 5).

458

459 -----Please insert Table 5 here-----

460

461 We used PROC MIXED (SAS v9.4) to model percentage distortion for ideal body
462 size. We found significant main effects of BUT-Parts, $F(1,61) = 8.82, p = .004$, and Age,
463 $F(1,61) = 4.83, p = .03$, as well as a significant interaction between Age and BUT-Parts,
464 $F(1,61) = 6.85, p = .01$. Figure 2c clearly shows that as younger women's body part
465 concerns increase, their ideal body size becomes progressively slimmer. By contrast,
466 middle-aged women selected a slimmer ideal, irrespective of their body concerns, since
467 the regression of percentage distortion on BUT-Parts has a substantially negative intercept,
468 together with a regression slope no different from zero, $F(1, 30) = 0.06, p = 0.816$.

469

470 -----Please insert Figure 2 here -----

471

472 **Discussion**

473

474 To our knowledge, this is the first study to use a personalised assessment, 2D optical
475 distortion method, to compare young and middle-aged women's perceptual performance of
476 their perceived actual and ideal body image. Our analyses included also anthropometric
477 covariates, such as BMI, body dissatisfaction and sociocultural influences, which are all
478 factors that are well-known contributors to the aetiology and development of EDs (Culbert et
479 al.,2015; Pedersen et al., 2018) during lifespan. Ultimately, we investigated if specific
480 differences in negative attitudinal components of body image, i.e., beauty ideals/pressures
481 and body-related concerns, may interact with women' age and may affect body image
482 perceptual self-estimates in a way which is substantially different in younger and middle-
483 aged women. In line with a multidimensional model of body image according to which the
484 size someone believes themselves to be is a combination of attitudinal and perceptual factors
485 (Cash & Deagle, 1997), our results suggest that the accuracy of women's judgments of their
486 perceived current and ideal body shape is modulated by the age group they belong to and
487 negative attitudes towards their bodies, particularly their concerns for body parts.

488

489 **Perceived Actual Body Image**

490 In agreement with studies reporting that women in the general population may
491 overestimate their body size (Johnson et al., 2008), but in disagreement with other findings
492 that instead suggest that women tend to underestimate their body size in the general
493 population (Monteath & McCabe, 1997; Robinson, 2017), we found that middle-aged women
494 with greater body parts concerns reported greater over-estimations in the perception of their
495 perceived actual body image. We did not observe the same outcome in younger women, who
496 were almost accurate. Similar results were also obtained by Deeks and McCabe (2001) who
497 reported that when middle-aged women were asked to pick a 'silhouette' which best
498 corresponded to their perceived actual body size, they chose a larger figure than was
499 objectively true. Critically and consistent with our findings, in Deeks and McCabe (2001)'
500 study, it was middle-aged women who displayed higher dissatisfaction with specific body
501 parts (lower and mid torso). As these regions are typically judged as larger than their actual
502 size (Smeets et al., 2009) possibly due to these areas being more prone to the effects of aging
503 (Genazzani & Gambacciani, 2006; Vanina et al., 2002), it may be plausible that
504 overestimation of body size for middle-aged women may have occurred if focus was placed
505 on those body parts of greater concern, when making their judgements (Kittler et al., 2007).

506 Both younger and middle-aged women over-estimate their perceived current body
507 size with increasing BMI, thus suggesting that as BMI increases over the lifetime, this factor

508 continues to influence body image perceptions similarly (Holsen et al., 2012). This finding is
509 in line with research by Wardle et al. (2006), who found that even young women with healthy
510 BMI inaccurately overestimated their body size. Likewise, as found in Thaler et al. (2018),
511 Toveé et al. (2003) and Zamariola et al. (2017), estimation of perceived actual body size was
512 predicted by BMI, so that women with higher BMI's demonstrated an overestimated
513 perception of their perceived actual body image.

514 A possible explanation for this finding is that body size distortion could occur as a
515 result of an individual's real body weight, as well as societal pressures to obtain a thin body
516 size. Particularly women with higher BMI's may have had greater discrepancies in their
517 ability to estimate their own body size, as they may perceive their body to be significantly
518 larger than what society classes as thin, which as a result may distort their own mental image
519 of the self (Arciszewski et al., 2012; Zamariola et al., 2017). Societal stigmatisation of greater
520 weight may have also fed into body image concerns for these women, which has been
521 previously associated with overestimations of body size (Thaler et al., 2018).

522 An additional explanation could be that as larger body sizes are more typical in
523 middle-aged women of Western societies (Sowers et al., 2007), this may have impacted and
524 altered perceptions of a body silhouette classed as the 'norm', compared to a body size
525 classed as being overweight (Robinson, 2007; Robinson & Kirkham, 2014).

526 Indeed, according to the 'Social Comparison Theory' individuals make constantly
527 evaluations about physical characteristics, such as body size by looking at the appearance of
528 those around us, which in turn may provide an internal standard (norm) or internal
529 representation of what is normal (Festinger, 1954; Mussweiler, 2003). With these regards, the
530 on-going obesity epidemic in both non-developing and developing countries, might have led
531 to a recalibration of body shape and particular to a perception that larger body sizes are
532 considered as 'normal'. If this was the case, then this altered shift in standard models of
533 different BMI classifications may have caused an overestimated shift in perceived actual
534 body size estimations, if middle-aged women used these standard models to base their
535 judgements on their own body.

536 Nevertheless, for younger women only, we found a positive association with
537 sociocultural influences i.e. pressures from the media, family and peers (SATAQ-Press) with
538 an increase in perceived actual body image distortions. This is in line with previous research
539 reporting that although middle-aged women still care for their bodily appearance, they are
540 less influenced from societal pressures compared to younger women, who are more
541 influenced by these pressures (Pruis & Janowsky, 2010; Lewis & Cachelin, 2001). This could

542 be due to differences concerning traditional (television) and Social Media exposure
543 (Facebook, Instagram), with younger women having more exposure than middle-aged women
544 (Baugh, 2009; Wadsworth & Johnson, 2008).

545

546 **Ideal Body Image**

547 In the present study, both younger and middle-aged women consistently preferred a
548 slimmer body size when asked to judge how they would like to appear, a result which is
549 largely in agreement with the current literature (Baugh, 2009; Lewis & Cachelin, 2001;
550 McCabe et al., 2007; Pruis & Janowsky, 2010). Interestingly, as younger women's body part
551 concerns increase, their ideal body becomes progressively slimmer. By contrast, middle-aged
552 women selected a slimmer ideal, irrespective of their body concerns.

553 One possible explanation for this finding is that younger women may have placed a
554 greater importance for attractiveness on specific body parts, which prior research has found to
555 be in the lower region of the body, i.e. stomach and thighs (Irvine et al., 2019; Stanford &
556 McCabe, 2002; Ralph-Nearman et al., 2019). If young women believe their body parts are not
557 similar to what they perceive to be attractive in terms of size, then their desire to be thinner
558 will be greater (Stanford & McCabe, 2002). Furthermore, 'Thinspiration', a class of body-
559 idealising content that currently has emerged on social media, seems to be more important for
560 younger females, leading to young women to generally compare various body parts of the
561 'ideal model' to their own (Griffiths et al., 2018).

562 For both younger and middle-aged women, there was no effect of BMI on their ideal
563 body image perceptual self-estimates. This is somehow surprising since it was expected,
564 particularly for the middle-aged women, a relationship with higher BMI and ideal body
565 image distortions, as middle-aged women were also those women who showed greater
566 distortion in their perceived actual body size. Also, previous research has emphasised how
567 BMI can account for body dissatisfaction in middle-aged women (Algars et al., 2009; Dunkel
568 et al., 2010; McKinley & Lyon, 2008) which results in a greater drive for thinness (Keski-
569 Rahkonen et al., 2005; Lewis & Cachelin, 2001). Instead, our findings are in line with Pruis
570 and Janowsky (2010) in that BMI was not a predictor of ideal body image in older women. In
571 addition, it offers support for findings of Cheung et al. (2011), in that majority of women
572 with healthy BMI still have a desire for a slimmer body physique. Therefore, it is not just
573 women with higher BMIs who have a greater desire for a slimmer ideal body, but also
574 females with normal range BMIs. Moreover, as suggested by Cheung et al. (2011), it could
575 be plausible that ideal body image is more influenced by factors such as body self-esteem,

576 which contribute towards body dissatisfaction and that BMI is less important in influencing
577 an individual's ideal body image.

578

579 **Limitations**

580 Certain limitations of the present study should also be acknowledged.

581 First, although the BIR software has been proved to be successful in investigating
582 perceptual body image in healthy and EDs populations (see Cazzato et al., 2014, 2016;
583 Zamariola et al., 2017), and is ecologically valid in the sense that it resembles a person's
584 mirror image, nevertheless the program does not adjust an individual's arms or face.
585 Therefore, particularly at the extremes of thinness and fatness, there may be image distortions
586 – i.e. departures from an ecologically valid image - which may cause participants to adopt a
587 compensatory strategy, whereby participants' judgements of the apparent body size of the
588 person in the stimulus might be based on the computation of surface area, or perhaps
589 perimeter-area ratio. Yet, we believe it is unlikely that the BIR inability of altering the face
590 and/or the arms of participants might have affected differently the two samples of women,
591 given that both groups displayed (low) similar levels of concerns for such body parts (as
592 measured by the BUT-B). Nevertheless, we believe that keeping participants' face during the
593 perceptual tasks might have added strength to the individualised assessment procedure, as it
594 might have improved the ecological validity of the test and reinforced women's self-body
595 identification during task performance.

596 Yet, it would be beneficial in future studies to investigate body image perception
597 using stimulus images that do not have the limitations listed above. For example, in previous
598 research of Cornelissen et al. (2017), different 3D avatars were generated depicting realistic
599 BMI physiques. As well as more realistic 3D representations (see Keizer et al., 2016, for a
600 clinical application of full body VR in EDs), this software should enable all body parts to be
601 adjusted so as to represent a more accurate reflection of varying body sizes and that
602 individuals can view more than just a frontal perspective. This is especially important
603 considering the natural occurring age-related changes in older women which result in
604 different body composition and fat distribution than younger women (Genazzani &
605 Gambacciani, 2006; Hughes et al., 2004).

606 With these regards, a recent study from Ralph-Nearman and colleagues (2019) has
607 tested the feasibility of a novel mobile tool, the so called 'Somatomap' that allows
608 individuals to visually represent their perception of body-part sizes and shapes, as well as
609 areas of body concerns and record the emotional valence of concerns. In light of the results of

610 our current study which highlight the importance of addressing specific body parts concerns
611 in women and related visual size (mis)perceptions, it would be extremely useful to adopt a
612 tool with such properties when assessing multiple components of body image across life span
613 in future.

614 Second, although previous studies of Cornelissen and co-authors (Cornelissen et al.,
615 2015, 2017; Irvine et al., 2018) demonstrated that attitudinal components of body image can
616 be compressed into a *single* principal component reflecting variation in attitudes to body
617 shape, weight and eating, self-esteem, and tendency to depression, yet in this study we did
618 not include a measure of self-esteem and depression which could have mediated the need to
619 appear thinner. With these regards, albeit no evidence for a specific role of self-esteem has
620 been reported when investigating specific age-related differences in perceptual body image in
621 previous investigations, yet it would be interesting to investigate in the future the link
622 between self-esteem and body image concerns (Stapleton et al., 2017), as well as repeated
623 dieting behaviours in older women.

624

625 **Conclusions**

626 Despite the limitations discussed, the present study provided, for the first time,
627 evidence that performance at tasks that measure perceptual and attitudinal components of
628 body image are essentially different in young and middle-aged women. Most importantly, we
629 have demonstrated that distortions in perceived actual and ideal body size estimation of
630 younger and middle-aged women are best explained by a combination of BMI, concern for
631 body parts, and the particular age group to which a participant belonged.

632 Overall, these results suggest that women regardless of age, show perceptual and
633 attitudinal body image distortions, yet it is important to focus on specific concerns towards
634 body parts, which accounts for perceived actual body image perceptions for middle-aged
635 women and a desire to appear slimmer for young women. Thus, this study highlights the need
636 for a multidimensional and personalized computerised approach for studies of body image in
637 women across lifespan, which includes women of a variety of ages and a multitude of
638 potential attitudinal factors of body image, as well as women's perceptions and concerns of
639 specific body areas.

640

641

642

643

644 **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

645

646 **Conflict of interests:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

647

648 **Ethical approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in
649 accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964
650 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

651

652 **Informed consent:** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included
653 in the study.

654

655 **Data availability:** The datasets analysed during the current study are not publicly available
656 due lacking participant consent for data-sharing with third parties (according to our current
657 General Data Protection Regulation, GDPR), but are available from the corresponding author
658 on reasonable request.

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678 **References**

- 679 Ålgars, M., Santtila, P., Varjonen, M., Witting, K., Johansson, A., Jern, P., & Sandnabba, N.
680 K. (2009). The adult body: How age, gender, and body mass index are related to body
681 image. *Journal of Aging and Health, 21*(8), 1112-1132.
- 682 Arbour, K. P., & Ginis, K. M. (2008). Improving body image one step at a time: Greater
683 pedometer step counts produce greater body image improvements. *Body Image, 5*(4),
684 331-336.
- 685 Arciszewski, T., Berjot, S., & Finez, L. (2012). Threat of the thin-ideal body image and body
686 malleability beliefs: Effects on body image self-discrepancies and behavioral
687 intentions. *Body Image, 9*(3), 334-341.
- 688 Bane, S., & McAuley, E. (1998). Body image and exercise. *Advances in sport and exercise*
689 *psychology measurement*, (pp. 311–324). Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information
690 Technology.
- 691 Baugh, E. J. (2009). *Body image and the aging female*. University of Florida, USA.
- 692 Bedford, J. L., & Johnson, C. S. (2006). Societal influences on body image dissatisfaction in
693 younger and older women. *Journal of Women & Aging, 18*(1), 41-55.
- 694 Cafri, G., Yamamiya, Y., Brannick, M., & Thompson, J. K. (2005). The influence of
695 sociocultural factors on body image: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology: Science*
696 *and Practice, 12*(4), 421-433.
- 697 Cash, T. F., & Deagle III, E. A. (1997). The nature and extent of body-image disturbances in
698 anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of*
699 *Eating Disorders, 22*(2), 107-126.
- 700 Cazzato, V., Mele, S., & Urgesi, C. (2014). Gender differences in the neural underpinning of
701 perceiving and appreciating the beauty of the body. *Behavioural Brain*
702 *Research, 264*(1), 188-196.
- 703 Cazzato, V., Mian, E., Mele, S., Tognana, G., Todisco, P., & Urgesi, C. (2016). The effects of
704 body exposure on self-body image and esthetic appreciation in anorexia
705 nervosa. *Experimental Brain Research, 234*(3), 695-709.
- 706 Cazzato, V., Mian, E., Serino, A., Mele, S., & Urgesi, C. (2015). Distinct contributions of
707 extrastriate body area and temporoparietal junction in perceiving one's own and
708 others' body. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 15*(1), 211-228.
- 709 Cheung, Y. T. D., Lee, A. M., Ho, S. Y., Li, E. T. S., Lam, T. H., Fan, S. Y. S., & Yip, P. S.

710 F. (2011). Who wants a slimmer body? The relationship between body weight status,
711 education level and body shape dissatisfaction among young adults in Hong
712 Kong. *BMC Public Health*, 825(11), 1-10.

713 Cornelissen, K. K., Bester, A., Cairns, P., Tovée, M. J., & Cornelissen, P. L. (2015). The
714 influence of personal BMI on body size estimations and sensitivity to body size
715 change in anorexia spectrum disorders. *Body Image*, 13, 75-85.

716 Cornelissen, K. K., McCarty, K., Cornelissen, P. L., & Tovée, M. J. (2017). Body size
717 estimation in women with anorexia nervosa and healthy controls using 3D
718 avatars. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 15773.

719 Culbert, K. M., Racine, S. E., & Klump, K. L. (2015). Research Review: What we have
720 learned about the causes of eating disorders—a synthesis of sociocultural,
721 psychological, and biological research. *Journal of Child Psychology and*
722 *Psychiatry*, 56(11), 1141-1164.

723 Cumella, E. J., & Kally, Z. (2008). Profile of 50 women with midlife-onset eating
724 disorders. *Eating Disorders*, 16(3), 193-203.

725 Cuzzolaro, M., Vetrone, G., Marano, G., & Garfinkel, P. E. (2006) ‘The Body Uneasiness
726 Test (BUT): Development and validation of a new body image assessment scale’.
727 *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity*, 11(1), 1-13.

728 Davis, S. R., Castelo-Branco, C., Chedraui, P., Lumsden, M. A., Nappi, R. E., Shah, D., &
729 Villaseca, P. (2012). Understanding weight gain at menopause. *Climacteric*, 15(5),
730 419–429.

731 Deeks, A. A., & McCabe, M. P. (2001). Menopausal stage and age and perceptions of body
732 image. *Psychology and Health*, 16(3), 367-379.

733 Donaghue, N. (2009). Body satisfaction, sexual self-schemas and subjective well-being in
734 women. *Body Image*, 6(1), 37-42.

735 Dunkel, T. M., Davidson, D., & Qurashi, S. (2010). Body satisfaction and pressure to be thin
736 in younger and older Muslim and non-Muslim women: The role of Western and non-
737 Western dress preferences. *Body Image*, 7(1), 56-65.

738 Evans, C., & Dolan, B. (1993). Body Shape Questionnaire: derivation of shortened “alternate
739 forms”. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 13(3), 315-321.

740 Favaro, A., Ferrara, S., & Santonastaso, P. (2003). The spectrum of eating disorders in young
741 women: A prevalence study in a general population sample. *Psychosomatic*
742 *Medicine*, 65(4), 701-708.

743 Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117-

744 140.

745 Genazzani, A. R., & Gambacciani, M. (2006). Effect of climacteric transition and hormone
746 replacement therapy on body weight and body fat distribution. *Gynecological*
747 *Endocrinology*, 22(3), 145-150.

748 Griffiths, S., Castle, D., Cunningham, M., Murray, S. B., Bastian, B., & Barlow, F. K. (2018).
749 How does exposure to thinspiration and fitspiration relate to symptom severity among
750 individuals with eating disorders? Evaluation of a proposed model. *Body Image*, 27,
751 187-195.

752 Hayashi, F., Takimoto, H., Yoshita, K., & Yoshiike, N. (2006). Perceived body size and
753 desire for thinness of young Japanese women: a population-based survey. *British*
754 *Journal of Nutrition*, 96(6), 1154-1162.

755 Hoek, H. W. (2006). Incidence, prevalence and mortality of anorexia nervosa and other
756 eating disorders. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 19(4), 389–394.

757 Holsen, I., Jones, D. C., & Birkeland, M. S. (2012). Body image satisfaction among
758 Norwegian adolescents and young adults: A longitudinal study of the influence of
759 interpersonal relationships and BMI. *Body Image*, 9(2), 201-208.

760 Hrabosky, J. I., Cash, T. F., Veale, D., Neziroglu, F., Soll, E. A., Garner, D. M., ... & Phillips,
761 K. A. (2009). Multidimensional body image comparisons among patients with eating
762 disorders, body dysmorphic disorder, and clinical controls: A multisite study. *Body*
763 *Image*, 6(3), 155-163.

764 Hudson, J. I., Hiripi, E., Pope Jr, H. G., & Kessler, R. C. (2007). The prevalence and
765 correlates of eating disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey
766 Replication. *Biological Psychiatry*, 61(3), 348-358.

767 Hughes, V. A., Roubenoff, R., Wood, M., Frontera, W. R., Evans, W. J., & Fiatarone Singh,
768 M. A. (2004). Anthropometric assessment of 10-y changes in body composition in the
769 elderly. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 80(2), 475-482.

770 Irvine, K. R., McCarty, K., Pollet, T. V., Cornelissen, K. K., Tovée, M. J., & Cornelissen, P.
771 L. (2019). The visual cues that drive the self-assessment of body size: Dissociation
772 between fixation patterns and the key areas of the body for accurate judgement. *Body*
773 *Image*, 29(1), 31-46.

774 Johnson, F., Cooke, L., Croker, H., & Wardle, J. (2008). Changing perceptions of weight in
775 Great Britain: Comparison of two population surveys. *Bmj*, 337(1), 1-5.

776 Keizer, A., van Elburg, A., Helms, R., & Dijkerman, H. C. (2016). A virtual reality full body

777 illusion improves body image disturbance in anorexia nervosa. *PloS one*, 11(10), 1-
778 21.

779 Keski-Rahkonen, A., Bulik, C. M., Neale, B. M., Rose, R. J., Rissanen, A., & Kaprio, J.
780 (2005). Body dissatisfaction and drive for thinness in young adult twins. *International*
781 *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 37(3), 188-199.

782 Kittler, J. E., Menard, W., & Phillips, K. A. (2007). Weight concerns in individuals with body
783 dysmorphic disorder. *Eating Behaviors*, 8(1), 115-120.

784 Lewis, D. M., & Cachelin, F. M. (2001). Body image, body dissatisfaction, and eating
785 attitudes in midlife and elderly women. *Eating Disorders*, 9(1), 29-39.

786 Longo, M. R., Betti, V., Aglioti, S. M., & Haggard, P. (2009). Visually induced analgesia:
787 Seeing the body reduces pain. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29(39), 12125-12130.

788 Marcus, M. D., Bromberger, J. T., Wei, H. L., Brown, C., & Kravitz, H. M. (2007).
789 Prevalence and selected correlates of eating disorder symptoms among a multiethnic
790 community sample of midlife women. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, 33(3), 269-
791 277.

792 McCabe, M. P., Ricciardelli, L. A., & James, T. (2007). A longitudinal study of body change
793 strategies of fitness center attendees. *Eating Behaviors*, 8(4), 492-496.

794 McKinley, N. M., & Lyon, L. A. (2008). Menopausal attitudes, objectified body
795 consciousness, aging anxiety, and body esteem: European American women's body
796 experiences in midlife. *Body Image*, 5(4), 375-380.

797 Mian, E., & Gerbino, W. (2009). Body image assessment in the computer aided
798 psychological support for eating disorders. Abstracts from cyber therapy 14,
799 designing the future of healthcare, Lago Maggiore, Verbania, Italy. *Cyber*
800 *Psychology & Behavior*, 12(1), 581-673.

801 Monteath, S. A., & McCabe, M. P. (1997). The influence of societal factors on female body
802 image. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 137(6), 708-727.

803 Mussweiler, T. (2003). Comparison processes in social judgment: mechanisms and #
804 consequences. *Psychological Review*, 110(3), 472-489.

805 Paul, T. K., Sciacca, R. R., Bier, M., Rodriguez, J., Song, S., & Giardina, E. G. V. (2015).
806 Size misperception among overweight and obese families. *Journal of General*
807 *Internal Medicine*, 30(1), 43-50.

808 Pedersen, L., Hicks, R. E., & Rosenrauch, S. (2018). Sociocultural pressure as a mediator of
809 eating disorder symptoms in a non-clinical Australian sample. *Cogent*
810 *Psychology*, 5(1), 1-15.

- 811 Pruis, T. A., & Janowsky, J. S. (2010). Assessment of body image in younger and older
812 women. *The Journal of General Psychology: Experimental, Psychological, and*
813 *Comparative Psychology*, 137(3), 225-238.
- 814 Ralph-Nearman, C., Arevian, A. C., Puhl, M., Kumar, R., Villaroman, D., Suthana, N.,
815 Feusner, J. D., & Khalsa, S. S. (2019). A Novel Mobile Tool (Somatomap) to Assess
816 Body Image Perception Pilot Tested With Fashion Models and Nonmodels: Cross-
817 Sectional Study. *JMIR Mental Health*, 6(10), 1-15.
- 818 Reboussin, B. A., Rejeski, W. J., Martin, K. A., Callahan, K., Dunn, A. L., King, A. C., &
819 Sallis, J. F. (2000). Correlates of satisfaction with body function and body appearance
820 in middle-and older aged adults: The Activity Counseling Trial (ACT). *Psychology*
821 *and Health*, 15(2), 239-254.
- 822 Ricciardelli, L. A., & McCabe, M. P. (2004). A biopsychosocial model of disordered eating
823 and the pursuit of muscularity in adolescent boys. *Psychological Bulletin*, 130(2),
824 179-205.
- 825 Robinson, E. (2017). Overweight but unseen: a review of the underestimation of weight
826 status and a visual normalization theory. *Obesity Reviews*, 18(10), 1200-1209.
- 827 Robinson, E., & Kirkham, T. C. (2014). Is he a healthy weight? Exposure to obesity changes
828 perception of the weight status of others. *International Journal of Obesity*, 38(5), 663-
829 667.
- 830 Rosen, J. C., & Ramirez, E. (1998). A comparison of eating disorders and body dysmorphic
831 disorder on body image and psychological adjustment. *Journal of Psychosomatic*
832 *Research*, 44(3-4), 441-449.
- 833 Saucier, M. G. (2004). Midlife and beyond: Issues for aging women. *Journal of Counseling*
834 *& Development*, 82(4), 420-425.
- 835 Schaefer, L. M., Burke, N. L., Thompson, J. K., Dedrick, R. F., Heinberg, L. J., Calogero, R.
836 M., Bardone-Cone, A. M., Higgins, M. K., Frederick, D. A., Kelly, M., Anderson, D.
837 A., Schaumberg, K., Nerini, A., Stefanile, C., Dittmar, H., Clark, E., Adams, Z.,
838 Macwana, S., Klump, K. L., Vercellone, A. C., Paxon, S. J., & Swami, V. (2015).
839 Development and validation of the Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance
840 Questionnaire-4 (SATAQ-4). *Psychological Assessment*, 27(1), 1-54.
- 841 Schuck, K., Munsch, S., & Schneider, S. (2018). Body image perceptions and symptoms of
842 disturbed eating behavior among children and adolescents in Germany. *Child and*
843 *Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 12(10), 1-11.
- 844 Sleviec, J. H., & Tiggemann, M. (2011a). Predictors of body dissatisfaction and disordered

845 eating in middle-aged women. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 31(4), 515-524.

846 Sleviec, J., & Tiggemann, M. (2011b). Media exposure, body dissatisfaction, and disordered
847 eating in middle-aged women: A test of the sociocultural model of disordered
848 eating. *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 35(4), 617-627.

849 Smeets, M. A., Klugkist, I. G., van Rooden, S., Anema, H. A., & Postma, A. (2009). Mental
850 body distance comparison: A tool for assessing clinical disturbances in visual body
851 image. *Acta Psychologica*, 132(2), 157-165.

852 Smink, F. R., Van Hoeken, D., & Hoek, H. W. (2012). Epidemiology of eating disorders:
853 incidence, prevalence and mortality rates. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 14(4), 406-
854 414.

855 Sowers, M., Zheng, H., Tomey, K., Karvonen-Gutierrez, C., Jannausch, M., Li, X., Yosef,
856 M., & Symons, J. (2007). Changes in body composition in women over six years at
857 midlife: Ovarian and chronological aging. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology &*
858 *Metabolism*, 92(3), 895-901.

859 Stanford, J. N., & McCabe, M. P. (2002). Body image ideal among males and females:
860 Sociocultural influences and focus on different body parts. *Journal of Health*
861 *Psychology*, 7(6), 675-684.

862 Stapleton, P., Crighton, G. J., Carter, B., & Pidgeon, A. (2017). Self-esteem and body image
863 in females: The mediating role of self-compassion and appearance contingent self-
864 worth. *The Humanistic Psychologist*, 45(3), 238-257.

865 Stice, E. (2002). Risk and maintenance factors for eating pathology: A meta-analytic
866 review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 128(5), 825-848.

867 Tchkonja, T., Morbeck, D. E., Von Zglinicki, T., Van Deursen, J., Lustgarten, J., Scrable, H.,
868 Khosla, S., Jensen, M. D., & Kirkland, J. L. (2010). Fat tissue, aging, and cellular
869 senescence. *Aging Cell*, 9(5), 667-684.

870 Thompson, J. K., van den Berg, P., Roehrig, M., Guarda, A. S., & Heinberg, L. J. (2004)
871 'The sociocultural attitudes towards appearance scale-3 (SATAQ-3): Development
872 and validation'. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 35(3), 93-304.

873 Thaler, A., Geuss, M. N., Mölbert, S. C., Giel, K. E., Streuber, S., Romero, J., Black, M. J., &
874 Mohler, B. J. (2018). Body size estimation of self and others in females varying in
875 BMI. *PloS one*, 13(2), 1-24.

876 Tiggemann, M. (2004). Body image across the adult life span: Stability and change. *Body*
877 *image*, 1(1), 29-41.

878 Toh, W. L., Grace, S. A., Rossell, S. L., Castle, D. J., & Phillipou, A. (2019). Body parts of

879 clinical concern in anorexia nervosa versus body dysmorphic disorder: a cross-
880 diagnostic comparison. *Australasian Psychiatry*, 28(2), 134-139.

881 Tovée, M. J., Benson, P. J., Emery, J. L., Mason, S. M., & Cohen-Tovée, E. M. (2003).
882 Measurement of body size and shape perception in eating-disordered and control
883 observers using body-shape software. *British Journal of Psychology*, 94(4), 501-516.

884 Vanina, Y., Podolskaya, A., Sedky, K., Shahab, H., Siddiqui, A., Munshi, F., & Lippmann, S.
885 (2002). Body weight changes associated with psychopharmacology. *Psychiatric
886 Services*, 53(7), 842-847.

887 Wadsworth, L. A., & Johnson, C. P. (2008). Mass media and healthy aging. *Journal of
888 Nutrition for the Elderly*, 27(3-4), 319-331.

889 Wardle, J., Haase, A. M., & Steptoe, A. (2006). Body image and weight control in young
890 adults: international comparisons in university students from 22
891 countries. *International Journal of Obesity*, 30(4), 644-651.

892 Zamariola, G., Cardini, F., Mian, E., Serino, A., & Tsakiris, M. (2017). Can you feel the body
893 that you see? On the relationship between interoceptive accuracy and body
894 image. *Body Image*, 20, 130-136.

895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903

Table 1. Pearson's correlation coefficients for the inter-correlations for BMI and the 18 subscales of the self-report questionnaires for both young and middle-aged women combined.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1 BMI	-																	
2 SATAQ_INTT	0.14	-																
3 SATAQ_INTM	-0.14	0.54***	-															
4 SATAQ_FP	0.50***	0.32**	0.05	-														
5 SATAQ_PP	0.36**	0.45**	0.37**	0.63***	-													
6 SATAQ_PM	0.40**	0.24*	0.09	0.33**	0.31*	-												
7 BUT_BIC	0.67***	0.40**	0.11	0.40**	0.39**	0.50***	-											
8 BUT_A	0.59***	0.32**	0.06	0.35**	0.38**	0.33**	0.84***	-										
9 BUT_CSM	0.28*	0.52***	0.38**	0.24	0.45**	0.39**	0.70***	0.61***	-									
10 BUT_D	0.27*	0.41**	0.31*	0.11	0.29*	0.18	0.58***	0.64***	0.76***	-								
11 BUT_WP	0.54***	0.41**	0.10	0.25*	0.36**	0.51***	0.88***	0.76***	0.81***	0.71***	-							
12 BUT_M	0.22	0.42**	0.35**	0.20	0.31*	0.28*	0.52***	0.43**	0.58***	0.47***	0.54***	-						
13 BUT_FS	0.35**	0.37**	0.16	0.30*	0.31**	0.29*	0.58***	0.51***	0.62***	0.56***	0.65***	0.66***	-					
14 BUT_TH	0.67***	0.24	-0.04	0.41**	0.32*	0.44**	0.69***	0.58***	0.52***	0.37**	0.67***	0.52***	0.62***	-				
15 BUT_L	0.40**	0.40**	0.18	0.21	0.27*	0.41**	0.61***	0.47***	0.62***	0.50***	0.69***	0.70***	0.70***	0.71***	-			
16 BUT_H	0.34**	0.33**	0.04	0.25*	0.25	0.37**	0.62***	0.55***	0.59***	0.44**	0.69***	0.73***	0.80***	0.66***	0.78***	-		
17 BUT_MOU	0.23	0.23	0.07	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.41**	0.30*	0.49***	0.36**	0.45**	0.38**	0.52***	0.35**	0.44**	0.43**	-	
18 BUT_SK	0.16	0.35**	0.26*	0.15	0.39**	0.25*	0.50***	0.41**	0.66***	0.56***	0.56***	0.57***	0.63***	0.47***	0.55***	0.57***	0.37**	-
19 BUT_BLU	0.31*	0.30*	0.22	0.31*	0.33**	0.27*	0.45**	0.40**	0.56***	0.50***	0.52***	0.52***	0.72***	0.52***	0.58***	0.64***	0.51***	0.52***

*= p<.05, **= p<.01, ***= p<.0001

Note: BMI= Body Mass Index; SATAQ= Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance Questionnaire; BUT= Body Uneasiness Test;

INTT= Internalisation-Thin/low body fat; INTM= Internalisation-Muscular/athletic; FP=Family Pressures; PP= Peer Pressures; PM= Pressures Media; BIC= Body Image Concerns; A= Avoidance; CSM= Compulsive Self-Monitoring; D= Depolarisation; WP= Weight Phobia; M= Mouth; FS= Face Shape; TH= Thighs; L= Legs; H= Harms; MOU= Moustache; SK= Skin; BLU= Blushing.

Table 2. Demographic and psychometric responses from middle-aged (n= 33) women and younger women (n= 33).

	Middle-aged (n= 33) <i>M (SD)</i>	Younger (n= 32) <i>M (SD)</i>	Middle-aged vs. younger <i>p</i>
Age (years)	53.79 (3.72)	24.22 (4.51)	<.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.16 (5.13)	22.74 (4.36)	<.005
SATAQ-4			
Internalization- Thin/Low body fat (max 5)	2.62 (1.03)	2.89 (0.68)	ns
Internalization- Muscular/ Athletic (max 5)	2.16 (0.98)	2.56 (1.07)	ns
Pressures-Family (max 5)	2.08 (1.22)	1.80 (1.04)	ns
Pressures-Peers (max 5)	1.98 (1.20)	1.76 (1.02)	ns
Pressures-Media (max 5)	3.44 (1.39)	3.16 (1.33)	ns
BUT-A			
Body Image Concern (max 5)	1.90 (0.93)	1.45 (1.07)	ns
Avoidance (max 5)	0.87 (0.75)	0.51 (0.74)	ns
Compulsive Self-Monitoring (max 5)	1.36 (0.86)	1.26 (0.90)	ns
Depersonalization (max 5)	0.76 (0.75)	0.65 (0.64)	ns
Weight Phobia (max 5)	2.00 (1.01)	1.55 (1.08)	ns
Global Severity Index (max 5)	1.46 (0.78)	1.13 (0.85)	ns
BUT- B			
Mouth (max 5)	1.56 (0.92)	1.26 (0.90)	ns
Face Shape (max 5)	1.22 (0.82)	1.04 (0.97)	ns
Thighs (max 5)	2.79 (1.19)	1.65 (1.13)	<.005
Legs (max 5)	1.72 (1.21)	1.23 (1.02)	ns
Harms (max 5)	1.53 (1.08)	1.17 (0.99)	ns
Moustache (max 5)	0.86 (1.12)	0.89 (1.02)	ns
Skin (max 5)	2.02 (1.23)	1.72 (1.15)	ns
Blushing (max 5)	1.47 (1.07)	1.22 (1.00)	ns
Positive Symptom Total (max 37)	26.85 (10.56)	23.84 (12.27)	ns
Positive Symptom Distress Index (max 5)	2.29 (.75)	1.94 (.72)	ns

Note: BMI= Body Mass Index; SATAQ-4= Sociocultural Attitudes Towards Appearance Questionnaire; BUT= Body Uneasiness Test.

Table 3. Demographic characteristics of middle-aged (n= 33) women and younger women (n= 32), analysed by Chi-square.

Characteristic	Group		Total n (%)
	Middle-aged n (%)	Young n (%)	
Ethnicity			
Caucasian	31 (93.9)	32 (100)	63 (96.9)
Mixed Race	2 (6.1)	0 (0)	2 (3.1)
Handedness			
Right	29 (87.9)	23 (71.9)	52 (80)
Left	4 (12.1)	9 (28.1)	13 (20)
Menstrual Cycle			
Yes	8 (24.2)	30 (93.8)	38 (58.5)
No	25 (75.8)	2 (6.2)	27 (41.5)

Table 4. Pearson correlations between each of the four principal components (BUT-Parts, BUT-Att, SATAQ-press, SATAQ-Int), age, BMI, and perceived actual body size distortion, presented separately for middle-aged women (n= 33) and younger women (n=32).

		Actual	Age	BMI	BUT-Parts	BUT-Att	SATAQ-Press
Middle-aged women	Age	-.03	-				
	BMI	.37*	-.08	-			
	BUT-Parts	.43*	.16	-.04	-		
	BUT-Att	.39*	-.13	.45*	-.12	-	
	SATAQ-Press	.06	-.02	.47*	-.00	-.11	-
	SATAQ-Int	.10	.14	-.09	.03	.13	.09
Younger women	Age	-.26	-				
	BMI	.41*	-.07	-			
	BUT-Parts	-.10	-.03	.39*	-		
	BUT-Att	.16	-.25	.42*	.08	-	
	SATAQ-Press	.39*	.21	.56**	-.06	.06	-
	SATAQ-Int	.00	-.09	-.18	.06	-.07	-.00

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .005$, *** $p < .001$.

Note: BMI= Body Mass Index; Att= Attitudinal; Press= Pressures; Int= Internalisation

Table 5. Pearson correlations between each of the four principal components (BUT-Parts, BUT-Att, SATAQ-press, SATAQ-Int), age, BMI, and ideal body size distortion, presented separately for middle-aged women (n= 33) and younger women (n=32).

		Ideal	Age	BMI	BUT-Parts	BUT-Att	SATAQ-Press
Middle-aged	Age	.26	-				
Women	BMI	-.11	-.08	-			
	BUT-Parts	.08	.16	-.04	-		
	BUT-Att	-.02	-.13	.45*	-.12	-	
	SATAQ-Press	-.11	-.02	.47*	-.00	-.11	-
	SATAQ-Int	-.06	.14	-.09	.03	.13	.09
Younger	Age	-.19	-				
women	BMI	.00	-.07	-			
	BUT-Parts	-.49**	-.03	.39*	-		
	BUT-Att	-.10	-.25	.42*	.08	-	
	SATAQ-Press	.08	.21	.56**	-.06	.06	-
	SATAQ-Int	.15	-.09	-.18	.06	-.07	-.00

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .005$, *** $p < .001$.

Note: BMI= Body Mass Index; Att= Attitudinal; Press= Pressures; Int= Internalisation

Figure Captions:

Fig. 1. Visual representation of the body distortion technique, using the Body Image Revealer (BIR); veridical (0 = original, centre), distortion (-50 = slimmer and +50 = fatter) of body size. Images of participants were viewed against a white background.

Fig. 2. (a) Significant two-way interaction between BUT-parts and Age for the perceived actual body image subcomponent. (b) Non-significant interaction between BMI and age group for the perceived actual body image. (c) Significant two-way interaction between BUT-parts and Age for the ideal body image subcomponent. For all figures, the shaded regions correspond to the 95% confidence intervals for the regression slopes, which have been computed separately for each group. Blue circles with a blue regression line represent the younger women, red circles with a red regression line, middle-aged women.